

NOTES AND MEMORANDA

THE DEMAND-SUPPLY THEORY OF INCOMES TESTED BY 1970 CENSUS FIGURES

BY JAN TINBERGEN

Erasmus University, Rotterdam

1. TWO SIMPLE VERSIONS OF THE THEORY

On another occasion [3] I presented a demand-supply theory of incomes in two versions, to be called the more complete and the reduced forms respectively. Let x_i be employment of labour category i ; p_i its price or income; s_i a supply and d_i a demand factor (all measured by their logarithms). The more complete version of the theory will be written (Version II):

$$(1) \quad x_i = \alpha_1 s_i + \alpha_2 p_i$$

$$(2) \quad x_i = \beta_1 d_i - \beta_2 p_i$$

where (1) is the supply and (2) the demand equation. The supply factor s_i may be the (logarithm of the) number of persons with qualification i and the demand factor the (logarithm of the) number of such people the employers would wish to employ if some "normal" situation prevails. As usual, equations (1) and (2) can be given the reduced form for the endogenous variables x and p (thought of as vectors now, shown by the omission of index i). In its reduced form the theory is presented by the price equation only: (Version I)

$$(3) \quad p = -\pi_1 s + \pi_2 d$$

where evidently

$$(4) \quad \pi_1 = \alpha_1 / (\alpha_2 + \beta_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 = \beta_1 / (\alpha_2 + \beta_2)$$

The labour categories to be considered are those with differing years of schooling ranging from 8 with intervals of 2 to 16 years. The theory is very simple in that it neglects cross elasticities of demand and supply. This implies that the coefficients found can best be used to estimate the influence of each category's own price on its employment.

2. NEW DATA FROM THE 1970 U.S.A. CENSUS; SPECIFICATION OF THE DEMAND FACTOR

Contrary to previous U.S.A. population censuses, the 1970 volumes [5] include one in which, for a large number of occupational groups, tables are published showing earnings by education classes and age groups for race and sex groups. In the present study we only took the *main occupation categories*, leaving out farm managers and workers and combining transport equipment operators with other operators, as was usual in previous censuses.

In order to eliminate the influence of age, unemployment, race and sex we took white males of age 35–54, having worked 50–52 weeks in 1969.

As income for each education category we took earnings for the modal occupational group in the category considered (for the combined group of operators the unweighted average of the two groups just mentioned). The supply factor was taken equal to the numbers of persons in each educational category actually employed in 1969 in specification (i) of both Version I and II of the theory; and equal to estimated numbers employed five years earlier in specification (ii). This estimation was a crude one, based on a comparison of

1950 and 1970 figures on *occupations* of all employed white persons; one quarter of the differences in percentages of these employment figures was deducted from the figures of specification (i) in order to obtain (ii) (source: [6]).

The crucial assumption made was that the *educational structure of employment within each main occupational group was the same as in 1969*. In both specifications the demand factor was estimated by a projection, five years ahead, of employment in each of the educational groups, using the same method as just described for specification (ii) of the supply factor, but assuming a lead instead of a lag. In other words, the desired composition of the labour force as seen by employers was derived from "plans" for the future taking into account changes in occupations to be expected on the basis of the trend 1950–70, but assuming the same educational structure within each of the main occupational groups. This is probably an underestimation of the ensuing demand elasticities, but not a serious one. Summarizing we may say that specification (i) assumes no lag between supply factor and price; specification (ii) a lag of five years of price behind supply; and both specifications assume that the demand factor is based on a projection five years ahead of actual employment in 1969.

3. THE INFLUENCE OF LAGS ON DEMAND AND SUPPLY ELASTICITIES FOR VERSION I OF THE THEORY

Our method of constructing the demand factor by using the rate of change observed in actual employment of course raises several questions—the more so as the statistical results obtained appear to be remarkably good (cf. Section 4). In a general way, the suspicion may arise that these good results are something built in advance into the theory. More specifically one may wonder whether the lags assumed cannot be "manipulated" so as to obtain some preconceived results. For these reasons, and more particularly, because of the second question raised in this section the role played by the lead of the demand factor with respect to actual employment will be given an explicit form. Indicating the logs of incomes by the vector p , those of manpower available by s , the rate of growth of s by g and the lead by t , the coefficients π_1 and π_2 will be found by solution of the normal equations for the first regression of p on s and $s + tg$. These equations can be written

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} (p, s) &= -\pi_1(s^2) + \pi_2\{(s^2) + t(s, g)\} \\ (p, s + tg) &= -\pi_1\{(s^2) + t(s, g)\} + \pi_2\{(s^2) + 2t(s, g) \\ &\quad + t^2(g^2)\} \end{aligned}$$

of which the solutions appear to be:

$$(7) \quad \pi_1 = \frac{-(p, s)\{(s, g) + t(g^2)\} + (p, g)\{(s^2) + t(s, g)\}}{t\{(s^2)(g^2) - (s, g)^2\}}$$

and

$$(8) \quad \pi_2 = \frac{-(p, s)\{(s^2)(s, g) + t(s, g)^2\} + (pg)\{(s^2)^2 + t(s^2)(s, g)\}}{t\{(s^2) + t(s, g)\}\{(s^2)(g^2) - (s, g)^2\}}$$

From these formulae we see that there is a *clear impact of the lead chosen* on the coefficients π , especially because as a rule the components of g will be small in comparison to those of s , implying that the t -terms in the numerators will be small in comparison to the terms without t . This means that we must have good reasons for the choice of t we make. In specification (i), where prices were supposed not to be lagging behind the demand-supply situation, t comes in as the time employers think ahead in the formulation of the desired manpower structure and it was chosen to be five years. The implication of specification (ii) is that t equals the total of the lag of prices behind the demand-supply situation and of the lead just mentioned. Since the slow reaction of income scales on the market situation is generally recognized, this specification may well be more realistic; it corresponds with a

value of $t = 10$ years. Anyway formulae (7) and (8) enable the reader to make his own choice. From the figures to be discussed in the next section it will be seen that the π values are approximately inversely proportional to those of t .

4. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR THE U.S. MATERIAL ON 1969 WHITE MALES OF AGE 35-54: VERSION I

The results obtained with the aid of the material described in Section 2 have been summarized in Table I for the simpler version of the theory.

TABLE I
VALUES OF PRICE FLEXIBILITIES π_1 AND π_2 WITH REGARD TO SUPPLY AND DEMAND FACTOR, MULTIPLE (R) AND SIMPLE CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOUND FOR SPECIFICATION (i) AND (ii) OF VERSION I OF DEMAND-SUPPLY THEORY

Coefficients	π_1		π_2		R		$-r_{ps}$		$-r_{pd}$		r_{sd}	
	(i)	(ii)	(i)	(ii)	(i)	(ii)	(i)	(ii)	(i)	(ii)	(i)	(ii)
Value of t	5	10	5	10	5	10	5	10	5	10	5	10
First } Regression	+8.5	+4.0	+8.3	+3.8	0.96	0.945	0.76	0.76	0.72	0.998	0.997	
Second* }	+10	+7	+10	+7								
Third* }	+10	+7	+11	+7								

*Approximate values only.

It is worth mentioning that with simple correlation coefficients of 0.76 and 0.72 only of prices with supply and demand factor, respectively, multiple correlation coefficients well above 0.9 are found. It is also striking that with as high an intercorrelation as exists between the two explanatory variables s and d the margins of error as shown by the second and third regressions (following Frisch's "bunch map" method [2]) are not destructive.

The first regression equation shows the almost inverse proportionality between t and π values. Whoever would opt for longer lags would obtain $\pi_1 = +2$ for a twenty-year lag-cum-lead or $\pi_1 = +1$ for a forty-year one. This means that our findings show an elasticity of demand of all labour categories considered of -0.25 if one adheres to a ten-year and -0.5 for a twenty-year lag-cum-lead which seem to be the most likely values of t . This adds another case of rather low demand elasticities to the ones I collected elsewhere [3], drawing on work by Fallon and Layard [1] and on my own interpretation of material shown by Mrs. Ullman-Chiswick and by Dougherty. In the article just quoted I presented a demand-supply scheme similar to the one discussed in the present article. The demand factor was not constructed, however, by a forward projection of Mexican employment but by assuming the Japanese industrial structure to be the one aimed at by Mexican employers. (The industrial structure was used as against the occupational structure in the present article.) In order to throw some light on the choice of t we can state that the per capita GNP ratio of Japan to Mexico was 2.86 in 1970 and that it took Japan 11.5 years to grow at that rate, whereas the Mexican growth rate requires 29 years to bridge the ratio. One might conclude that choices for t between 10 and 30 years seem to make sense.

5. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR THE U.S.A.: VERSION II OF THE THEORY

Turning to Version II of the theory, we see from equations (4) that the four coefficients α and β can only be estimated if either supplementary assumptions are made or supplementary information is available. Here I only will repeat the treatment I applied to the Mexican material just mentioned [3]. One additional assumption was made, namely that $\alpha_1 = 1$: the number of those offering themselves for employment are proportional to the numbers available in each educational category. The additional information is taken

from Mrs. Chiswick's study [4] where she finds $\alpha_2 = -1.25$, adding my own tentative interpretation of her results which yields $\alpha_2 = 0.17$. Since $\alpha_2 + \beta_2 = 1/\pi_1 = 0.25$, this leaves us with $\beta_1 = \pi_2(\alpha_2 + \beta_2) = 0.95$ and $\beta_2 = 0.25 - \alpha_2$. For the two alternative values of α_2 mentioned this implies for β_2 the value of 1.50 (following Mrs. Chiswick) or 0.08 (following my alternative interpretation). Had we taken $\pi_1 = 8.5$ (that is, $t = 5$), we would have found $\beta_1 = 1.0$ and $\beta_2 = 1.13$ or -0.5 . For $t = 20$, the results would have been $\beta_1 = 1.0$, and $\beta_2 = 1.3$ or -0.1 . Again the conclusion may be drawn that the elasticity of demand for labour is of the order of -1 or less.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fallon, P. R. and Layard, P. R. G., "Capital-Skill Complementarity, Income Distribution and Output Accounting", forthcoming (Higher Education Unit, London School of Economics and Political Science).
- [2] Frisch, R. *Confluence Analysis*, Oslo 1934.
- [3] Tinbergen, J., "Substitution of Academically Trained by Other Manpower", forthcoming.
- [4] Ullman, C., "The Growth of Professional Occupation in the American Labor Force: 1900-1963" (World Bank summary of unpublished thesis, Columbia University, 1972).
- [5] U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1970 Census of Population, *Earnings by Occupation and Education*, Washington DC, 1973, PC(2)-8B.
- [6] U.S.A.: Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget: *Social Indicators 1973*, Washington DC, 1973.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR RESEARCH IN INCOME AND WEALTH SECOND ASIAN REGIONAL CONFERENCE

The second Asian regional conference of the International Association for Research in Income and Wealth will be held February 7-11, 1977, at the School of Economics, University of the Philippines, Quezon City 3004, Manila. The organizing committee of the conference consists of K. Ohkawa, Chairman, Y. Kurabayashi, M. Mangahas, M. Mukherjee, H. Oshima, and P. Visaria. Dr. Mahar Mangahas is in charge of local arrangements at the University of the Philippines. The preliminary program together with the session organizers is given below. Anyone interested in presenting a paper in one of the sessions listed should communicate directly with the session organizer.

Session I. National Accounts Framework for Developing Countries

- (1) Problems and reliability of the measurement of national income statistics with particular emphasis on experience in developing economies.
- (2) The interrelations between national accounts and social statistical systems for Asian countries

Organizer: Y. Kurabayashi
Institute of Economic Research
Hitotsubashi University
2-1, Naka, Kunitachi-shi, Tokyo, Japan

Session II. Income Distribution and the Measurement of Social Development

- (1) The whole gamut of questions relating to poverty and size distribution of income and wealth as applied to poor Asian countries.
- (2) Income distribution in developing countries.

Organizer: M. Mukherjee
Indian Statistical Institute
203, Barrackpore Trunk Road
Calcutta-35, India

Session III. Use of National Accounts for Development Planning and Policies

- (1) Sectoral flow of commodity or resource.
- (2) Uses of national income statistics for planning and policy making of government.
- (3) Measurement of net national welfare.

Organizer: H. Oshima
c/o The Rockefeller Foundation
MMC, P.O. Box 1169
Makati, Rizal
Philippines

Session IV. Contributed Papers

The topics should concern the problems of inter-country comparisons among a group of Asian countries.

Organizer: J. Encarnacion
School of Economics
University of the Philippines
Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines

Session V. General Discussion

Session organizers will summarize the major issues presented at each of the sessions, followed by a general discussion based on these summaries.

Organizer: K. Ohkawa.
c/o The International Development Center of Japan
20 Shiba Nishikubo Sakuragawa-cho
Minato-ku, Japan

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR RESEARCH IN INCOME AND
WEALTH

Secretary's Financial Report, 1975

The income statement and balance sheet of the International Association for Research in Income and Wealth for the year 1975 are appended below, together with comparative figures for 1974. Data for earlier years may be found in earlier June issues of this *Review*.

As in previous years, the Association's revenue during 1975 was derived primarily from two sources: sales of its publications, mainly *The Review of Income and Wealth*, and contributions of institutional members. Its expenses also fall into two categories, those connected with publication of the *Review*, and those connected with the conference program. The *Review* continued to be essentially self-supporting, in that its sales cover its variable costs and a reasonable share of the fixed costs. The contributions of institutional members make possible the continuation of the other activities of the Association, primarily the conference program. During 1975, the 14th General Conference of the Association was held in Aulanko, Finland. The names of the institutional members are listed on the inside back cover of this *Review*.

The number of paid subscriptions to the *Review* rose by about 5 percent. The membership dues rate and subscription prices were not changed in 1975. It is perhaps somewhat encouraging that there was a small increase in circulation, in view of the increasing stringency in library budgets.

Costs of publication and salaries, as expected, rose by nearly \$5000; this is the major part of the increase in expenditures. A similar rate of increase may be expected in the future. Publishing costs are rising steadily. Increases in salaries are still being held to the increase in the cost of living; it is unlikely that this can continue.

This increase in costs was substantially greater than the increase in revenue. As a consequence, even without making any appropriation to the reserve for promotional expenditures there was a deficit of \$1243.

Both the rate of dues and subscription prices were raised by \$5 for 1976. It is anticipated that if price elasticity is no greater than it has been in the past this will result in a balanced budget for 1976. If costs continue to rise at present rates, however, a further price increase will be needed in 1977.

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR RESEARCH IN INCOME AND
WEALTH

Income Statement

<i>Revenue</i>	1975 \$	1974 \$
1. Institutional members	11,838	12,138
(Number)	(25)	(25)
2. Members	5,760	5,562
(Number)	(320)	(309)
3. Subscribers	24,495	23,068
(Number)	(1,065)	(1,005)
4. Sales of publications and reprints and miscellaneous income	1,406	954
5. Interest	1,383	1,394
6. <i>Less</i> Bad debts, discounts, and exchange costs	1,153	1,506
Total revenue	43,729	41,611

Expenditures

7. Printing and publishing	19,679	16,385
8. Salaries	18,072	16,522
9. Postage	1,320	707
10. Supplies and miscellaneous	1,046	1,333
11. Insurance	229	229
12. Depreciation	726	291
13. Data processing	899	292
14. Reserve for conference expenditure	3,000	3,000
15. Reserve for promotional expenditure	—	2,000
Total expenditures	44,972	40,759
Addition to surplus	-1,243	851

Note: Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

Balance Sheet

<i>Assets</i>	1975 \$	1974 \$
1. Cash	36,072	41,469
Checking account	(10,920)	(17,493)
Savings account	(25,000)	(25,000)
Yale account	(- 848)	(-1,024)
Cash	(1,000)	—
2. Accounts receivable	5,267	5,620
Less: Reserve for doubtful accounts	1,500	1,500
3. Office equipment		
Cost	3,622	1,444
Less: Reserve for depreciation	1,070	344
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total assets	42,391	46,690
	<hr/>	<hr/>
 <i>Liabilities and surplus</i>		
1. Accounts payable	4,378	—
2. Accrued costs	7,441	10,565
3. Prepayments of dues and subscriptions	3,847	6,129
4. Reserve for conference expenditures	216	2,245
5. Reserve for promotional expenditures	4,000	4,000
6. Accumulated general reserves	22,509	23,752
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total liabilities and surplus	42,391	46,690
	<hr/>	<hr/>

Note: Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.